



King's Research Portal

DOI:

[10.1177/2053168019851680](https://doi.org/10.1177/2053168019851680)

Document Version

Peer reviewed version

[Link to publication record in King's Research Portal](#)

Citation for published version (APA):

Turnbull-Dugarte, S. J. (2019). Explaining the end of Spanish exceptionalism and electoral support for Vox. *Research and Politics*, 6(2), 1-8. <https://doi.org/10.1177/2053168019851680>

Citing this paper

Please note that where the full-text provided on King's Research Portal is the Author Accepted Manuscript or Post-Print version this may differ from the final Published version. If citing, it is advised that you check and use the publisher's definitive version for pagination, volume/issue, and date of publication details. And where the final published version is provided on the Research Portal, if citing you are again advised to check the publisher's website for any subsequent corrections.

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the Research Portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognize and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the Research Portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the Research Portal

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact librarypure@kcl.ac.uk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Explaining the end of Spanish exceptionalism and electoral support for Vox.

-Accepted manuscript version-

Stuart J. Turnbull-Dugarte

The 2018 regional elections in Andalucía marked the end of Spain's exceptional status as a country with a party system free from the radical right. The electoral success of the radical right-wing challenger, Vox, who gained eleven percent of the vote and twelve seats in the regional parliament, brought this exceptionalism to an end. This paper analyses the individual-level determinants that explain the electoral success of Vox and the emergence of the radical right within the Spanish party system. The results indicate that concerns over devolution, likely engendered by the Catalan separatist crisis, predominantly explain voters' preferences for the right-wing challenger. This is true both amongst the general electorate as well as amongst the former voters of other right-wing parties. Significantly, against popular assumptions and empirical observations explaining the rise of radical right-wing parties across much of Western Europe, the results display no empirical link between immigration and electoral support for Vox.

Introduction

The 2018 regional elections in the autonomous community of Andalucía in Spain signalled a substantial shake up of the country's party system, bringing about the end of Spain's exceptional statusⁱ as a country without a radical right-wing political party (Alonso & Kaltwasser, 2015). The new right-wing challenger, Vox, gained electoral success for the first time, winning eleven percent of the votes cast and gaining a total of twelve seats in the regional parliament. The party's support was also essential in ousting the socialist-led regional government which had been in power in Andalucía for more than thirty-six years, supporting the right-wing coalition between the Partido Popular (PP) and Ciudadanos.

In this paper, I provide the first empirical assessment of the individual-level factors that explain the motivations behind electoral support for Vox. Relying on post-electoral survey dataⁱⁱ from 1,413 voters collected shortly after the election took place, it is argued that the political conflict regarding the separatist movement in Catalonia and the inability of national government to resolve the same had a large impact on explaining individual support for Vox.

Empirically, I demonstrate that those voters who express support for reducing the political independence of the country's autonomous communities are more likely to vote for Vox. In significant contrast to the understanding of the radical right-wing vote across other Western European countries that view right-wing support to be driven by concerns over immigration, distrust with the political establishment, and voters from the lower end of the socio-economic distribution (Ford & Goodwin, 2014; Mudde, 2007; Norris, 2005; Oesch, 2013; Rydgren, 2008; Zhirkov, 2014), I find that concerns over radical right-wing issues such as immigration are *not* found to exhibit an influential role in predicting electoral support for the new right-

wing challenger in Spain. Moreover, income status and political distrust play no role in explaining Vox's electoral success.

Election context

The December 2nd elections in Andalucía took place three months ahead of schedule after the regional president, Susanna Díaz, called early elections in the October. The announcement came only a few months after the successful no-confidence motion brought forward by the Spanish Socialist Worker's Party (PSOE) replaced the PP's Mariano Rajoy with their own leader, Pedro Sánchez, as president of Spain. Díaz' call for early elections was widely viewed as a political gamble that the regional president thought would be advantageous given that she would be able to monopolise on the PSOE's political momentum after taking over the national executive (Martín-Arroyo, 2018).

The elections took place, however, during a time of heightened territorial tensions. Alongside the traditional partisan conflict across liberal-left and conservative-right regarding economic and social issues, Spanish political competition is also marked by a second dimension involving centre-periphery conflict over devolution (Alonso et al., 2015; Pardos-Prado and Sagarzazu, 2019; Sánchez-Cuenca and Dinas, 2012), specifically regarding the political competences of Spain's autonomous regions. On October 1st 2017, the Catalan government, led by a coalition of separatist parties, held an unauthorisedⁱⁱⁱ referendum and unilaterally declared independence from Spain shortly afterwards on October 27th. The national government, led at the time by Rajoy, acted to suppress the separatist movement and invoked Article 155 of the Spanish Constitution which led to the cessation of the Catalan government and other regional authorities, and the temporary direct rule over the region from Madrid until new elections were called. Little improvement has been made to the political

conflict since then (Orriols, 2018), with the former leader of the Catalan government, Carles Puigdemont, currently in self-imposed exile in Brussels whilst a cohort of his former government colleagues undergo trial for charges of rebellion against the state in the country's supreme court. Currently, a separatist coalition remains in power in Catalonia whilst political conflict over Catalan separatism has noted an expansion beyond the confines of the region's own electorate into the national arena (Kingsley and Minder, 2017; Sánchez-Cuenca, 2018).

In addition to the national domestic conflict caused by the Catalan question, the elections in Andalucía took place at the end of a year when Spain had become the new focal point of the European migrant crisis with the number of migrants arriving to Spain from Africa in 2018 surpassing those that landed in both Italy and Greece (Keeley, 2018). The decision of the Sánchez-led socialist government to adopt a stance solidarity with incoming migrants and to accept the disembarking of migrants rescued on the Aquarius ship after the Italian government refused to receive them was also not without criticism from the press and opposition parties (Sanmartín, 2018).

The political success of Vox has largely been claimed by political commentators to be the result of the party's ability to mobilise voters on both the Catalan and immigration themes (Jabois, 2018; Llaneras, Galán. and Andrino, 2018). I argue that whilst there is empirical support for the former, the claim for the latter is not supported by the individual-level data.

Vox

The popularity of Vox and the electoral potential of the party was first made apparent after it gathered a crowd in surplus of nine thousand people to an arena in Madrid (Lambertucci, 2018) in October 2018. Like the other recent partisan entrepreneurs in Spain (Anduiza et al.,

2014; Turnbull-Dugarte, 2019), Vox had been able to garner much of its initial support through a grassroots-based communication strategy carried out over social media (Viejo and Alonso, 2018) where the party has been able to expand its support base without relying on the traditional mainstream media outlets.

Vox is a party with a political ideology firmly grounded in authoritarian conservatism and nationalism. Far from being a single-issue anti-immigrant party (Art, 2011), it advocates policy positions including opposition to same-sex marriage, abortion, gender quotas, gender violence protection ordinances and general social welfare provision; marking the party's ideological parity with other Western European radical right-wing parties (Art, 2011; Mudde, 2004, 2007; Norris, 2005). Indeed, its populist approach of promising to "make Spain great again" alongside its nativist authoritarianism signal its fulfilment of Mudde's (2004) classification as populist radical right party. Of note in the specific case of Vox, however, is the addition of a strong anti-feminist message (Simón, 2019) brought about in response to recent waves of feminist advancement in Spain as evidence by the historical 8M demonstrations in 2018.

Echoing parties of a similar ideological and populist flare such as France's *Front National*, Germany's *Alternative für Deutschland*, Britain's UKIP and others across Europe (Art, 2011; Zhirkov, 2014) the party adopts a very hard line on immigration, advocating for the deportation of all undocumented immigrants, the deportation of those who commit any crime, and an end to social policies that serve to attract immigrants (Vox, 2018). The hard-line stance on immigration breaks away from the rest of the parties operating within Spain^{iv} (Morales, Pardos-Prado and Ros, 2015) and the lack of political saliency traditionally given to the issue in public discourse and amongst voters (Encarnación, 2004).

On the Catalan question, Vox promotes policies that reflect both its preference for authoritarianism and focus on Spanish nationalism. In response to the separatist threat, the party calls for both the suspension of the autonomous independence of the Catalan region - policy proposal number one in its programme of one hundred measures (Vox, 2018) - as well as the constitutional prohibition of any party that seeks separatist objectives^v. These objectives, particularly the latter, mark Vox's extremism and spatially political distinctiveness vis-à-vis the other right-wing parties.

Election result

The election resulted in the worst electoral outcome observed by the PSOE in the region with the party losing fourteen seats and any path to the region's executive. The regional variant of Podemos, Adelante Andalucía (AA), also saw a reduction in its electoral fortunes losing almost six percent of its vote share. Across the leftist bloc, PSOE and AA lost a total of seventeen seats to the right. The mainstream right-wing parties of PP and Ciudadanos claimed victory arguing that the people of Andalucía had voted for change and political renewal despite the PP actually worsening its position vis-à-vis the previous regional elections in terms of both vote share and seats (Table 1).

Despite the mainstream right's claims of victory, the national press focused on the main news story of the night which was that of the political eruption of Vox into the electoral arena, with political pundits making clear that some form of political agreement with the radical right party was very likely given the legislative arithmetic of the results. In the end, a new PP-Ciudadanos coalition government was installed with support of Vox bringing to a close thirty-six years of PSOE-led governments in the region.

Table 1: Regional elections results in Andalucía from 2015 and 2018

	<u>% Vote share (seats)</u>		Difference
	2015	2018	
PSOE	35.43 (47)	27.95 (33)	-7.48 (-14)
PP	26.76 (33)	20.75 (26)	-6.01 (-7)
Cs	9.28 (9)	18.27 (21)	+8.99 (12)
AA*	21.73 (20)	16.18 (17)	-5.55 (-3)
Vox	0.45 (0)	10.97 (12)	+10.52 (12)

**In 2015 = shared vote share and seat count of Podemos and Izquierda Unida Los Verdes-Convocatoria por Andalucía before uniting under the Adelante Andalucía (AA) regional brand.*

Not altogether surprisingly, the vast majority of Vox' supporters came from parties already ideologically placed within the right (Figure 1). Almost half of the voters came from the PP whilst one fifth came from Ciudadanos (Cs). Votes from parties on the left represented a far smaller proportion of their electoral revenue providing together less than ten percent of Vox's votes in 2018.




Figure 1: Partisan vote revenue of Vox support.
Source: Own elaboration from post-electoral survey data (CIS #3236).

In the following section, I examine the individual factors that are associated with electoral support for Vox. Given the strong link between support for radical right parties and immigration observed across much of Western Europe (Ford & Goodwin, 2014; Mudde, 2007; Norris, 2005; Rydgren, 2008; Zhirkov, 2014) alongside the party's own hostilely critical position towards the issue, the link between immigration and support for Vox represents a rational assumption. The results of the following, however, demonstrate that Spain remains different: I find a robust non-effect between concerns over immigration and individual support for Vox. Given the saliency of the Catalan question, I hypothesise that support for Vox will be a function of voters' views on the political powers of the autonomous communities given the strong message of Vox against regionalism and aggressive pro-nationalist messaging. Support for this hypothesis is borne out in the data.

Testing Vote choice

Dependent variable and estimation technique

In order to assess the individual-level determinants of vote choice for Vox, I rely on the post-electoral survey data completed by the CIS between the 10th of December 2018 and the 3rd of January 2019. The dependent variable relies on self-reported vote choice for each of the five main parties (PSOE, PP, Ciudadanos, AA, and Vox) in the 2018 election. Given the nominal nature of the dependent variable, I estimate a multinomial logistic regression model (baseline: abstention^{vi}).

Independent variables

To test the individual elements of electoral support for Vox, I focus on assessing opposition to territorial devolution – measured in support for the independence of the autonomous communities – and concerns over immigration. The dichotomous independent variable *reduce autonomy* is included in the model and reports voters who think that the independence of autonomous regions should be reduced (1) or remain the same (0). To assess the impact of concerns regarding immigration I rely on respondents' answers to the most important problem (MIP) question. Survey respondents are asked, “Amongst the themes that appear on this card, which do you think are the most important for the new Government of Andalucía?”, and identify two issues that they think are the most pressing for the regional government. I operationalise concern over *immigration* by identifying those respondents who reported immigration to be one of the two MIPs (1) and those that did not (0).

Controls

The model conditions for a vector of politically relevant variables as well as socio-economic indicators. At the political level, the model includes five controls: support for the incumbent

(0-10) at the regional and national level; ideological placement across the left (0) vs. right (10) axis; distrust towards politics (1= respondent's impression of politics is of distrust, 0=otherwise); and former political activity (1= respondent had previously attended a political protest, 0=otherwise).

The model also caters for two demographic indicators: gender (1=male) and age. At the socio-economic level, the model includes four controls: religiosity (min: never attends church, max: frequently (at least once per week) attends church); education (min: primary education, max: tertiary education); income; and employment. Income is operationalised on an eleven-point ordinal scale^{vii} and employment status is a categorical variable (baseline: working) indicating those who are unemployed, retired, studying, or not in the labour force^{viii} (NILF).

Analysis

For ease of interpretation, the average marginal effects (AME) of the main explanatory variables are visualised in Figure 2 (detailed regression and AME output in appendix). The analysis provides a number of significant findings. Firstly, concerns over immigration do not exhibit any independent effect on the probability of voting for Vox, or any other party, at levels of traditional statistical significance. Against popular assumptions and empirical evidence to support this expectation, immigration concerns are not operating in Spain as an influential factor for individuals' voting behaviour or specifically in support of Vox.




Figure 2: Average marginal effects (AME) of main explanatory variables

As a robustness check^{ix}, I test that the non-significance of the immigration variable is not conditional on socio-economic status. Since concerns over immigration have been found in some cases to be driven by economic anxieties amongst the working class and other “economic losers” (Ford and Goodwin, 2014; Kriesi et al., 2008; Mudde, 2007; Oesch, 2013), if this is at work in the Spanish case one might expect there to be a significant relationship between immigration and support for Vox amongst those at the lower end of the income distribution. This does not appear to be the case: re-estimating the main model to include an interaction term between immigration and income reveals that immigration exhibits no effect on the probability of voting for Vox regardless of individuals’ income. Figure 3 illustrates the effect of the interaction which highlights the consistency of the non-effect of immigration. Notably, the effect is absent at income values where the majority of the population belong (see mean and standard deviation values in Figure 3).

Moreover, whilst the effect does not provide results of significance ($p < 0.05$), the visualisation presented in Figure 3 appears to suggest that support for Vox increases with income. This shows that support for the radical right party in Spain is not driven by low-income status or economic “loser” status which contrasts greatly with the right-wing voters observed elsewhere on the continent (Kriesi et al., 2008; Norris, 2005; Oesch, 2013).




Figure 3: Robustness check on (un)conditionality of immigration

Greater clarity is provided by views on Spanish devolution. Those who believe that the independence of the autonomous regions should be reduced observe a five percentage-point increase in the probability of voting for Vox. The effect is both substantive and significant. For both the PP and Ciudadanos, individuals’ desire to castrate autonomous independence does not appear to have provided them with any electoral support: the null-effect lies well within the confidence intervals of the variable for both parties.

Blame game

Significant variation is observed in the effect of support for the political incumbents at different levels of the political system (Figure 4). Whilst positive evaluations for both the regional (Díaz) and national (Sánchez) president increased votes for the PSOE, individual assessments of the Díaz did not impact electoral support for Vox. In other words, Vox votes were not driven by discontent with the leader operating at the subnational level, but of negative assessments of the president at the national level. Since the Catalan question is the contemporary political issue of greatest national saliency at the time, it is easy to draw a link between Sánchez and the incumbent national government's management of the separatist crisis.




Figure 4: Average marginal effects (AME) of incumbency

Interestingly, evaluations of the incumbents produce heterogeneous effects on the probability of voting for AA, the other main leftist party alongside PSOE. Those who positively evaluated Sánchez observed an increase in the probability of voting for either of the left-wing parties. The same was not observed by those who positively assessed Díaz, which actually significantly decreased voting for AA. This suggests that Sánchez was able to mobilise voters across the left both for his own party as well as for AA whilst Díaz could not.

Finally, noteworthy amongst the control variables is that political distrust does not display an effect of significance in relation to support for Vox (see Table A2 and Table A4) whilst it does for a number of other parties, particularly AA. This is interesting because it, again, marks Vox as distinct from other European radical right parties, particularly right-wing populists, who have garnered support from voters who express a lack of trust in the political system (Mudde, 2004). Vox voters are not sourced from those who are politically disenchanted but those driven by the territorial conflict.

Disloyalty on the right

Since over two thirds of Vox' votes came from voters that had previously voted for the other main right-wing parties, PP and Ciudadanos (Figure 1), I also run a logistic regression model estimating votes cast for Vox compared to the other right-wing parties amongst those who voted for right-wing parties in the 2016 general election. Table 3 reports the average marginal effects as percentage-points (detailed logistic regression output in appendix). Of note is that concerns over immigration do not explain why right-wing voters moved their support to Vox. The results show that former PP and Ciudadanos voters are driven to Vox largely by their left-right ideological preferences as well as their support for reduced autonomous independence. Right-wing voters who were in favour of reducing the competences of the

autonomous regions observed an increase in the probability of voting for Vox of eight percentage points. The explanatory role of nationalistic concerns related to devolution, therefore, exhibit both a significant and politically substantive effect on the individual-level vote choice for Vox and explains why right-leaning voters jumped ship from the mainstream right to the more extreme and nationalist option. Given that the PP had been in power nationally until the summer of 2018, it may well be that the exodus of former PP voters with anti-devolution views is the result of the party's own mismanagement of the territorial conflict during their term in office at the height of the Catalan crisis.

Table 2: AME of support for Vox in 2018 amongst right-wing voters in 2016

	Average Marginal Effect (percentage point)
Immigration (MIP)	2.9
Reduce devolution	7.55***
Incumbency (Díaz)	-0.41
Incumbency (Sánchez)	-1.1
Left-right position	5.61***
Distrusts politics	2.73
Participated in protest	-4.30
Gender	2.54
Age	0.00
<i>Religion (ref: no attendance)</i>	
Occasional churchgoer	-6.60**
Frequent churchgoer	-0.34
<i>Education (ref: primary)</i>	
Secondary education	7.30
Tertiary education	7.75*
Income	1.49
<i>Employment (ref: working)</i>	
Unemployed	15.98**
Retiree	-5.53
Student	8.72
NILF	4.03
N	487

*** p<0.01, ** p<0.05, * p<0.1

Conclusions

The 2018 regional elections in Andalucía represent both a turning point in the regional government but also the regional party system (and likely nationally after the general election in April 2019) from the four-party system that emerged in 2015 (Orriols and Cordero, 2016) into a five-party system, with Vox joining on the extreme end of the rightist bloc previously dominated by the PP and more recently in tandem with Ciudadanos.

Apart from the obvious ideological link between those who place themselves on the right of the ideological spectrum, the results of the analysis here report that voters are driven to vote for Vox when they believe in reducing the independence of the country's autonomous regions. It is, therefore, clear that the political conflict engendered by the Catalan question that drives Vox's pro-Spanish nationalism message is what attracts its supporters. Importantly, support for castrating devolved powers also explains the support for Vox amongst former voters of Ciudadanos and the PP who make up the largest source of Vox' 2018 vote revenue.

Concerns over immigration do not have an independent effect on support for Vox. The significance of this finding is paramount as it marks Spain (still) as being different from the rest of Western Europe. Whilst Vox's success represents the end of the country's exceptional status as a country free from an electorally successful radical right-wing party, the motivations for this party's success do not appear to be dependent on the individual-level determinants – mainly that of immigration – that explain support for right-wing populists elsewhere (Ford & Goodwin, 2014; Lubbers, Gijsberts and Scheepers, 2002; Norris, 2005;

Mudde, 2007; Zhirkov, 2014). The radical right may have dawned in Spain, but the influential political role of immigration on voting has not.

ⁱ The inability of the far right to gain electoral success in Spain has largely been attributed to the difficulty of far-right entrepreneurs to compete with the PP who have successfully catered to the preferences of far right-wing (Alonso and Kaltwasser, 2015); the low level of politicisation of core far-right policy issues such as immigration amongst political parties (Morales, Pardos-Prado and Ros, 2015); and the rigidity of the Spanish electoral system in accommodating to political newcomers (Alonso and Kaltwasser, 2015).

ⁱⁱ Data provided by the post-electoral survey (study no:3236) conducted by the *Centro de Investigaciones Sociológicas* (CIS) available at:

http://www.cis.es/cis/opencm/ES/1_encuestas/index.jsp

ⁱⁱⁱ The Spanish Constitutional Court ruled that the referendum law brought forward by the Catalan Government was unconstitutional in response to a legal suit made by the national government (Boletín Oficial del Estado, 2017).

^{iv} That is until the arrival of Vox into the political arena. Arguably the arrival of a competitor to the right of the PP has already begun to influence the party's own position on immigration with their new leader, Pablo Casado, replicating some of Vox's rhetoric critical of immigration.

^v On this point the party references the ban on parties that would seek independence for the German Länder in German Basic Law.

^{vi} Estimating the model using the PP as the baseline (Table A3) does not alter the main conclusions.

^{vii} Income categories (€): 1) No personal income, 2) <=300; 3) 301-600; 4) 601-900; 5) 901-1200; 6) 1201-1800; 7) 1801-2400; 8) 2401-3000; 9) 3001-4500; 10) 4501-6000; 11) >6000.

^{viii} NILF: housewives, unpaid domestic workers, unemployed but not seeking work

^{ix} Additional sensitivity tests regarding the potential influence of urban provinces to confound the overall results confirms the consistency of the main findings (Figure A1 appendix), other robustness checks in appendix.

Bibliography

Alonso S, Cabeza L and Gomez B (2015) Parties' electoral strategies in a two-dimensional political space: evidence from Spain and Great Britain. *Party Politics* 21(6): 851-865.

Alonso S and Kaltwasser CR (2015) Spain: No Country for the Populist Radical Right? *South European Society and Politics* 20(1): 21-45.

Anduiza E, Cristancho C and Sabucedo JM (2014) Mobilization through online social networks: the political protest of the indignados in Spain. *Information, Communication & Society* 17(6): 750-764.

Art D (2011). *Inside the Radical Right. The Development of Anti-Immigrant Parties in Western Europe* Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Boletín Oficial del Estado (2017) Sentencia 114/2017 de 17 de Octubre 2017. Sección del Tribunal Constitucional, 24th October, available at: <https://www.boe.es/boe/dias/2017/10/24/pdfs/BOE-A-2017-12206.pdf>

Encarnación OG (2004) The Politics of Immigration: Why Spain is Different. *Mediterranean Quarterly* 15(4): 167-185.

Ford R and Goodwin M (2014) *Revolt on the Right: Explaining support for the radical right in Britain*. Abingdon, Oxon: Routledge.

Jabois M (2018) La nueva extrema derecha irrumpe en escena. *El País*, 4th October, available at: https://elpais.com/politica/2018/10/03/actualidad/1538585644_517997.html

Keeley G (2018). How Spain became the new centre of Europe's migrant crisis. *The Times*, 3rd August, available at: <https://www.thetimes.co.uk/article/how-spain-became-the-new-centre-of-europe-s-migrant-crisis-wfdsq9s65>

Kingsley P and Minder R (2017). Catalonia Separatism Revives Spanish Nationalism. *The New York Times*, 5th October, available at: <https://www.nytimes.com/2017/10/05/world/europe/catalan-independence-referendum.html?smid=tw-share&ref=nyt-es&mcid=nyt-es&subid=article>

Kriesi H, et al. (2008) *West European Politics in the Age of Globalisation*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Lambertucci C (2018) La extrema derecha de Vox llena Vistalegre con más de 9,000 simpatizantes. *El País*, 8th October, available at: https://elpais.com/politica/2018/10/07/actualidad/1538918903_885191.html

Llaneras K, Galán J and Andrino B (2018) Vox crece dónde hay más inmigrantes: un mapa de sus votos en cada municipio. *El País*, 3rd December, available at: https://elpais.com/politica/2018/12/03/actualidad/1543829876_200181.html

Lubbers M, Gijsberts M and Scheepers P (2002) Extreme right-wing voting in Western Europe. *European Journal of Political Research* 41(3): 345-378.

Martín-Arroyo J (2018) Díaz convoca elecciones para evitar el desgaste del PSOE y la inestabilidad. *El País*, 9th October, available at: https://elpais.com/politica/2018/10/08/actualidad/1538995646_481936.html

Morales L, Pardos-Prado S and Ros V (2015) Issue emergence and the dynamics of electoral competition around immigration in Spain. *Acta Politica* 50(4): 461-485.

Mudde C (2004) The Populist Zeitgeist. *Government and Opposition* 39(4): 541-563.

Mudde C (2007) *Populist Radical Right Parties in Europe*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Oesch D (2013). The class basis of the cleavage between the New Left and the Radical Right: an analysis for Austria, Denmark, Norway and Switzerland. In: Rydgren J (ed.) *Class Politics and the Radical Right*. Abingdon, Oxon: Routledge, pp.31-51

Orriols L (2018). Cataluña, un año después. *El País*, 4th October, available at: https://elpais.com/elpais/2018/10/02/opinion/1538496914_838862.html

Orriols L and Cordero G (2016) The Breakdown of the Spanish Two-Party System: The Upsurge of Podemos and Ciudadanos in the 2015 General Election. *South European Society and Politics* 21(4): 469-492.

Norris P (2005) *Radical Right. Voters and Parties in the Electoral Market*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Pardos-Prado S and Sagarzazu I (2019). Economic performance and center-periphery conflicts in party competition. *Party Politics* 25(1): 50-62.

Rydgren J (2008). Immigration sceptics, xenophobes or racists? Radical right- wing voting in six West European countries. *European Journal of Political Research* 47(6): 737-765.

Sánchez-Cuenca I and Dinas E (2012). Introduction: voters and parties in the Spanish political space. *South European Society and Politics* 17(3): 367-374.

Sánchez-Cuenca I (2018) Vox y nacionalismo español. *InfoLibre*, 5th December, available at: https://www.infolibre.es/noticias/opinion/columnas/2018/12/05/vox_nacionalismo_espanol_89529_1023.html

Sanmartín OR (2018) Sánchez dice que es "exagerado" hablar de crisis migratoria y el PP le pide expulsar a más 'sin papeles', *El Mundo*, 18th December, available at: <https://www.elmundo.es/espana/2018/12/18/5c18c00ffc6c8363288b4577.html>

Simón P (2019) Vox en la brecha (de género), *El País*, 21st January, available at: https://elpais.com/elpais/2019/01/20/opinion/1548007306_339168.html

Turnbull-Dugarte SJ (2019) Selfies, Policies, or Votes? Political Party Use of Instagram in the 2015 and 2016 Spanish General Elections. *Social Media + Society* 5(2): 1-15.

Viejo M and Alonso A (2018) La estrategia de Vox en redes sociales: ya es el primer partido en Instagram, la plataforma con más jóvenes. *El País*, 16th December, available at: https://elpais.com/politica/2018/12/12/actualidad/1544624671_005462.html

Vox (2018) *100 Medidas Para la España Viva*.

Zhirkov K (2014). Nativist but not alienated: A comparative perspective on the radical right vote in Western Europe. *Party Politics* 20(2): 286-296.

Appendix

Table A1: Summary Descriptive Statistics

Variable	N	Mean	Std.Dev.	Min	Max
Vote choice 2018	1413	3.271	1.913	1	6
Immigration	1413	.103	.304	0	1
Reduce autonomy	1413	.253	.435	0	1
Incumbency (Díaz)	1413	3.8	2.911	0	10
Incumbency (Sánchez)	1413	3.917	2.911	0	10
Ideology	1413	4.643	1.881	1	10
Distrust	1413	.577	.494	0	1
Protest	1413	.502	.5	0	1
Churchgoer	1413	.372	.649	0	2
Education	1413	1.175	.768	0	2
Income	1413	4.067	1.998	1	11
Age	1413	47.994	17.128	18	92
Gender	1413	.498	.5	0	1
Employment	1413	1.391	1.048	0	4

Table A 2: Multinomial logistic regression models for 2018 election (baseline: abstention)

	(1) PSOE	(2) PP	(3) Cs	(4) AA	(5) Vox
Immigration (MIP)	-0.18 (0.32)	0.23 (0.34)	0.07 (0.32)	0.11 (0.39)	0.40 (0.40)
Reduce devolution	-0.68*** (0.26)	0.37 (0.25)	0.02 (0.23)	-0.52 (0.35)	1.16*** (0.31)
Incumbency (Díaz)	0.38*** (0.05)	-0.08 (0.06)	-0.08* (0.05)	-0.23*** (0.06)	-0.08 (0.08)
Incumbency (Sánchez)	0.19*** (0.05)	-0.16*** (0.06)	-0.14*** (0.05)	0.23*** (0.06)	-0.33*** (0.09)
Left-right position	-0.29*** (0.08)	0.88*** (0.10)	0.35*** (0.08)	-0.82*** (0.10)	0.97*** (0.13)
Distrusts politics	-0.10 (0.19)	-0.46** (0.23)	0.10 (0.20)	-0.44** (0.22)	-0.02 (0.31)
Participated in protest	0.89*** (0.21)	0.56** (0.23)	0.58*** (0.21)	1.49*** (0.26)	0.09 (0.30)
Gender(male)	0.23 (0.20)	0.34 (0.26)	0.03 (0.21)	0.11 (0.23)	0.65* (0.33)
Age	0.04*** (0.01)	0.01 (0.01)	0.00 (0.01)	0.01 (0.01)	-0.02 (0.02)
<i>Religion: (base: no attendance)</i>					
Occasional churchgoer	0.32 (0.26)	0.92*** (0.30)	0.34 (0.28)	-0.30 (0.37)	0.18 (0.43)
Frequent churchgoer	-0.23 (0.43)	1.00*** (0.36)	0.22 (0.37)	-0.08 (0.56)	0.70 (0.45)
<i>Education (base: primary)</i>					
Secondary education	-0.07 (0.29)	-0.04 (0.36)	0.21 (0.33)	0.28 (0.37)	0.56 (0.59)
Tertiary education	0.51 (0.33)	0.32 (0.40)	0.72** (0.35)	1.22*** (0.39)	0.82 (0.61)
Income	-0.06 (0.08)	0.11 (0.11)	0.19** (0.09)	0.05 (0.09)	0.23* (0.13)
<i>Employment (base: working)</i>					
Unemployed	-0.54 (0.35)	-0.60 (0.44)	-0.26 (0.37)	-0.45 (0.40)	0.53 (0.55)
Retiree	-0.20 (0.36)	0.45 (0.41)	-0.07 (0.39)	-0.19 (0.41)	0.05 (0.57)
Student	0.29 (0.49)	0.14 (0.69)	0.70 (0.51)	0.24 (0.57)	0.14 (0.97)
NILF	0.00 (0.53)	1.06* (0.62)	0.62 (0.58)	0.15 (0.66)	1.74** (0.83)
Constant	-3.45*** (0.81)	-6.37*** (0.86)	-3.27*** (0.72)	0.62 (0.88)	-8.00*** (1.45)
N			1,413		
Wald Chi2			762.31		

Robust standard errors (two-tailed) in parentheses

*** p<0.01, ** p<0.05, * p<0.1

Table A 3: Multinomial logistic regression models for 2018 election (baseline: PP)

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
	PSOE	Cs	AA	Vox	Abstained
Immigration (MIP)	-0.41 (0.41)	-0.15 (0.33)	-0.12 (0.46)	0.17 (0.35)	-0.23 (0.34)
Reduce devolution	-1.04*** (0.32)	-0.35 (0.24)	-0.89** (0.39)	0.79*** (0.29)	-0.37 (0.25)
Incumbency (Díaz)	0.46*** (0.08)	0.00 (0.06)	-0.14* (0.08)	0.01 (0.07)	0.08 (0.06)
Incumbency (Sánchez)	0.35*** (0.07)	0.02 (0.06)	0.39*** (0.08)	-0.17* (0.09)	0.16*** (0.06)
Left-right position	-1.17*** (0.11)	-0.53*** (0.08)	-1.70*** (0.13)	0.09 (0.10)	-0.88*** (0.10)
Distrusts politics	0.36 (0.26)	0.55** (0.22)	0.02 (0.28)	0.44 (0.28)	0.46** (0.23)
Participated in protest	0.33 (0.27)	0.03 (0.22)	0.94*** (0.31)	-0.47* (0.28)	-0.56** (0.23)
Gender(male)	-0.11 (0.28)	-0.31 (0.24)	-0.22 (0.31)	0.31 (0.30)	-0.34 (0.26)
Age	0.03** (0.01)	-0.01 (0.01)	-0.00 (0.01)	-0.03* (0.01)	-0.01 (0.01)
<i>Religion: (base: no attendance)</i>					
Occasional churchgoer	-0.60* (0.31)	-0.58** (0.27)	-1.23*** (0.40)	-0.74** (0.36)	-0.92*** (0.30)
Frequent churchgoer	-1.23** (0.49)	-0.77** (0.35)	-1.08* (0.63)	-0.30 (0.37)	-1.00*** (0.36)
<i>Education (base: primary)</i>					
Secondary education	-0.03 (0.41)	0.25 (0.39)	0.32 (0.47)	0.60 (0.54)	0.04 (0.36)
Tertiary education	0.18 (0.44)	0.39 (0.40)	0.89* (0.49)	0.50 (0.54)	-0.32 (0.40)
Income	-0.17 (0.11)	0.08 (0.09)	-0.06 (0.12)	0.12 (0.10)	-0.11 (0.11)
<i>Employment (base: working)</i>					
Unemployed	0.06 (0.49)	0.34 (0.43)	0.15 (0.54)	1.13** (0.50)	0.60 (0.44)
Retiree	-0.66 (0.47)	-0.52 (0.42)	-0.64 (0.49)	-0.41 (0.51)	-0.45 (0.41)
Student	0.15 (0.75)	0.56 (0.65)	0.11 (0.80)	0.01 (0.95)	-0.14 (0.69)
NILF	-1.06 (0.65)	-0.44 (0.57)	-0.91 (0.78)	0.68 (0.65)	-1.06* (0.62)
Constant	2.92*** (1.01)	3.10*** (0.78)	6.99*** (1.08)	-1.63 (1.29)	6.37*** (0.86)
Observations	1,413	1,413	1,413	1,413	1,413

Robust standard errors (two-tailed) in parentheses

*** p<0.01, ** p<0.05, * p<0.1

Table A 4: Average marginal effect of multinomial estimation

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
	PSOE	PP	Cs	AA	Vox
Immigration (MIP)	-0.03 (0.03)	0.01 (0.02)	-0.00 (0.03)	0.01 (0.03)	0.01 (0.02)
Reduce devolution	-0.06** (0.03)	0.01 (0.02)	-0.01 (0.02)	-0.02 (0.02)	0.05*** (0.01)
Incumbency (Díaz)	0.05*** (0.00)	-0.01 (0.00)	-0.01** (0.00)	-0.03*** (0.00)	-0.00 (0.00)
Incumbency (Sánchez)	0.02*** (0.00)	-0.00 (0.00)	-0.01*** (0.00)	0.01*** (0.00)	-0.01*** (0.00)
Left-right position	-0.02*** (0.01)	0.05*** (0.00)	0.01*** (0.01)	-0.06*** (0.01)	0.02*** (0.00)
Distrusts politics	0.01 (0.02)	-0.04** (0.02)	0.03* (0.02)	-0.03** (0.02)	0.01 (0.01)
Participated in protest	0.04** (0.02)	0.02 (0.02)	0.01 (0.02)	0.08*** (0.02)	-0.02 (0.01)
Gender(male)	0.02 (0.02)	0.01 (0.02)	-0.02 (0.02)	-0.00 (0.02)	0.02* (0.01)
Age	0.00*** (0.00)	0.00 (0.00)	-0.00 (0.00)	-0.00 (0.00)	-0.00* (0.00)
<i>Religion: (base: no attendance)</i>					
Occasional churchgoer	0.03 (0.02)	0.07*** (0.02)	0.00 (0.02)	-0.04* (0.02)	-0.02 (0.01)
Frequent churchgoer	-0.03 (0.04)	0.07** (0.03)	-0.01 (0.03)	-0.01 (0.04)	0.01 (0.02)
<i>Education (base: primary)</i>					
Secondary education	-0.02 (0.03)	-0.02 (0.03)	0.01 (0.03)	0.02 (0.02)	0.02 (0.02)
Tertiary education	0.00 (0.03)	-0.02 (0.03)	0.03 (0.03)	0.07*** (0.02)	0.02 (0.02)
Income	-0.01 (0.01)	-0.00 (0.01)	0.01** (0.01)	0.00 (0.01)	0.01 (0.00)
<i>Employment (base: working)</i>					
Unemployed	-0.04 (0.03)	-0.05* (0.03)	-0.01 (0.03)	-0.01 (0.03)	0.05* (0.03)
Retiree	-0.02 (0.03)	0.04 (0.03)	-0.02 (0.03)	-0.01 (0.03)	-0.01 (0.02)
Student	0.01 (0.05)	-0.02 (0.05)	0.07 (0.06)	-0.00 (0.04)	-0.01 (0.03)
NILF	-0.03 (0.05)	0.03 (0.04)	0.00 (0.05)	-0.00 (0.04)	0.06 (0.04)
Observations	1,413	1,413	1,413	1,413	1,413

Robust standard errors (two-tailed) in parentheses

*** p<0.01, ** p<0.05, * p<0.1

Table A 5: Logistic regression estimating vote for Vox amongst right-wing party voters in 2016 general election

	Voted Vox
Immigration (MIP)	0.28 (0.37)
Reduce devolution	0.77** (0.32)
Incumbency (Díaz)	-0.04 (0.08)
Incumbency (Sánchez)	-0.11 (0.11)
Left-right position	0.57*** (0.12)
Distrusts politics	0.28 (0.31)
Participated in protest	-0.44 (0.30)
<i>Religion: (base: no attendance)</i>	
Occasional churchgoer	-0.74* (0.39)
Frequent churchgoer	-0.03 (0.43)
<i>Education (base: primary)</i>	
Secondary education	0.91 (0.67)
Tertiary education	0.95 (0.66)
Income	0.15 (0.11)
Age	-0.00 (0.01)
Gender (male)	0.26 (0.32)
<i>Employment (base: working)</i>	
Unemployed	1.23** (0.53)
Retiree	-0.71 (0.54)
Student	0.75 (0.99)
NILF	0.38 (0.77)
Constant	-7.57*** (1.56)
Observations	487

Robust standard errors (two-tailed) in parentheses

*** p<0.01, ** p<0.05, * p<0.1

Table A 6: Logistic regression estimating vote for Vox amongst all party voters in 2016 general election with devolution and former vote-choice interaction

	Vote Vox
Immigration (MIP)	0.40 (0.34)
Reduce devolution	2.70** (1.14)
PSOE voter	-0.34 (1.51)
PP voter	1.18 (1.11)
Cs voter	1.43 (1.14)
UP voter	-0.48 (0.91)
Devolution*PSOE	0.60 (1.63)
Devolution*PP	-1.99 (1.21)
Devolution*CS	-2.04 (1.25)
Devolution*UP	0.00 (0.00)
Incumbency (Díaz)	-0.00 (0.07)
Incumbency (Sánchez)	-0.27*** (0.10)
Left-right position	0.49*** (0.10)
Political distrust	0.02 (0.30)
Participated in protest	-0.39 (0.27)
Religion (base: no attendance)	
Occasional churchgoer	-0.43 (0.39)
Frequent churchgoer	-0.02 (0.42)
Education (base: primary)	
Secondary education	0.71 (0.59)
Tertiary education	0.76 (0.57)
Income	0.11 (0.10)
Age	-0.02 (0.01)

Gender (male)	0.32 (0.29)
Employment (base: working)	
Unemployed	0.67 (0.48)
Retiree	-0.39 (0.52)
Student	-0.24 (0.90)
NILF	0.68 (0.70)
Constant	-6.82*** (1.62)
Observations	1,220

Robust standard errors (two-tailed) in parentheses
*** p<0.01, ** p<0.05, * p<0.1




Figure A5: Robustness Check (Vox vote choice)