



## King's Research Portal

DOI:

[10.1183/23120541.00055-2015](https://doi.org/10.1183/23120541.00055-2015)

*Document Version*

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

[Link to publication record in King's Research Portal](#)

*Citation for published version (APA):*

Morice, A. H., Kantar, A., Dicipinigaitis, P. V., Birring, S., McGarvey, L. P., & Chung, K. F. (2015). Treating acute cough: Wet Versus dry - Have we got the paradigm wrong? *Nida Research Monograph*, 1(2), [00055-2015]. <https://doi.org/10.1183/23120541.00055-2015>

### **Citing this paper**

Please note that where the full-text provided on King's Research Portal is the Author Accepted Manuscript or Post-Print version this may differ from the final Published version. If citing, it is advised that you check and use the publisher's definitive version for pagination, volume/issue, and date of publication details. And where the final published version is provided on the Research Portal, if citing you are again advised to check the publisher's website for any subsequent corrections.

### **General rights**

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the Research Portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognize and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the Research Portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the Research Portal

### **Take down policy**

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact [librarypure@kcl.ac.uk](mailto:librarypure@kcl.ac.uk) providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.



## Treating acute cough: wet versus dry – have we got the paradigm wrong?

To the Editor:

Over-the-counter (OTC) medicines are relied on by millions of patients worldwide to provide relief from acute cough, which is almost exclusively caused by acute viral upper respiratory tract infection (URTI). The worldwide market for such medicines is enormous, amounting to €5.77 billion in 2014 (source: IMS Health, Danbury, CT, USA). Doubts have been expressed as to the efficacy of these drugs [1], and there is no doubt that simple measures used in proprietary and home remedies can have significant benefit through a poorly defined “demulcent” effect. However, significant additional benefit from pharmacotherapy can also be demonstrated [2].

No new drug has been licensed for acute cough in over 30 years. Much of the literature supporting the licensed indications for current OTC medicines is, unsurprisingly, poor by modern standards. What is perhaps more worrying is the continuing use of the paradigm of dry and wet/productive URTI cough by the regulatory authorities and in pharmacies (e.g. [www.nhs.uk/conditions/cough/pages/introduction.aspx](http://www.nhs.uk/conditions/cough/pages/introduction.aspx)). This is an anachronism from the era of rampant tuberculosis. In acute URTI there is, in our opinion, little difference between a dry cough and that productive of minimal amounts of sputum. Acute cough, along with persistent cough, is now recognised to be a disorder of the vagal sensory afferents: the so-called cough hypersensitivity syndrome [3]. As an example of this paradigm shift, the marketed “expectorant” guaifenesin is now revealed to have significant effects on cough hypersensitivity [4].

We suggest that it is time to abandon the dry/wet classification of acute cough due to URTI. The cough, productive or not, is there for the benefit of the virus, not the patient, by enhancing viral transmission to the next victim [5–7]. This is why URTIs make your nose run. Indeed, it could be beneficial to inhibit cough to diminish person-to-person spread [8]. It is certainly not harmful to normalise the cough reflex in those with simple URTI. Clearly, in patients with protracted productive cough (bronchorrhoea) or in neurologically impaired patients with a decreased cough reflex, a separate and considered opinion is needed. What is paramount to our understanding of the many drugs in the OTC cough market is demonstrating efficacy using the now established measures of cough counting, cough challenge and validated subjective tools, rather than the current paradigm that a bit of phlegm will somehow drown the patient.

**Alyn H. Morice<sup>1</sup>, Ahmad Kantar<sup>2</sup>, Peter V. Dicpinigaitis<sup>3</sup>, Surinder S. Birring<sup>4</sup>, Lorcan P. McGarvey<sup>5</sup> and Kian Fan Chung<sup>6,7</sup>**

<sup>1</sup>Centre for Cardiovascular and Metabolic Research, Respiratory Medicine, Hull York Medical School, University of Hull, Cottingham, UK. <sup>2</sup>Paediatric Cough and Asthma Centre, Istituti Ospedalieri Bergamaschi, Bergamo, Italy. <sup>3</sup>Einstein Division/Montefiore Medical Center, Albert Einstein College of Medicine, New York, NY, USA. <sup>4</sup>Division of Asthma, Allergy and Lung Biology, King’s College London, London, UK. <sup>5</sup>Queens University Belfast, Belfast, UK. <sup>6</sup>National Heart and Lung Institute, Imperial College London, London, UK. <sup>7</sup>Biomedical Research Unit, Royal Brompton and Harefield NHS Trust, London, UK.

**Correspondence:** Alyn H. Morice, Centre for Cardiovascular and Metabolic Research, Respiratory Medicine, Hull York Medical School, University of Hull, Castle Hill Hospital, Castle Road, Cottingham, East Yorkshire, HU16 5JQ, UK. E-mail: [a.h.morice@hull.ac.uk](mailto:a.h.morice@hull.ac.uk)

Received: Aug 11 2015 | Accepted after revision: Sept 14 2015

Conflict of interest: Disclosures can be found alongside this article at [openres.ersjournals.com](http://openres.ersjournals.com)

 @ERSpublications

It is time to abandon the dry/wet classification of acute cough due to URTI <http://ow.ly/Sfc1X>

Copyright ©ERS 2015. This article is open access and distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial Licence 4.0.



## References

- 1 Smith SM, Schroeder K, Fahey T. Over-the-counter (OTC) medications for acute cough in children and adults in community settings. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2014; 11: CD001831.
- 2 Dicipinigaitis PV, Morice AH, Birring SS, *et al.* Antitussive drugs--past, present, and future. *Pharmacol Rev* 2014; 66: 468–512.
- 3 Morice AH, Millqvist E, Belvisi MG, *et al.* Expert opinion on the cough hypersensitivity syndrome in respiratory medicine. *Eur Respir J* 2014; 44: 1132–1148.
- 4 Dicipinigaitis PV, Gayle YE. Effect of guaifenesin on cough reflex sensitivity. *Chest* 2003; 124: 2178–2181.
- 5 OConnell F, Thomas VE, Studham JM, *et al.* Capsaicin cough sensitivity increases during upper respiratory infection. *Respir Med* 1996; 90: 279–286.
- 6 Dicipinigaitis PV, Bhat R, Rhoton WA, *et al.* Effect of viral upper respiratory tract infection on the urge-to-cough sensation. *Respir Med* 2011; 105: 615–618.
- 7 Abdullah H, Heaney LG, Cosby SL, *et al.* Rhinovirus upregulates transient receptor potential channels in a human neuronal cell line: implications for respiratory virus-induced cough reflex sensitivity. *Thorax* 2014; 69: 46–54.
- 8 Tyrrell D, Fielder M. Cold wars: the fight against the common cold. Oxford, Oxford University Press, 2002.