



King's Research Portal

DOI:

[10.1080/13501763.2012.751715](https://doi.org/10.1080/13501763.2012.751715)

Document Version

Peer reviewed version

[Link to publication record in King's Research Portal](#)

Citation for published version (APA):

Oppermann, K. (2013). The politics of discretionary government commitments to European integration referendums. *Journal of European Public Policy*, 20(5), 684-701. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13501763.2012.751715>

Citing this paper

Please note that where the full-text provided on King's Research Portal is the Author Accepted Manuscript or Post-Print version this may differ from the final Published version. If citing, it is advised that you check and use the publisher's definitive version for pagination, volume/issue, and date of publication details. And where the final published version is provided on the Research Portal, if citing you are again advised to check the publisher's website for any subsequent corrections.

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the Research Portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognize and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the Research Portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the Research Portal

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact librarypure@kcl.ac.uk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Table: Results of the Expert Survey

Referendum Pledges	The government pledged the referendum...							Number of Experts
	...to shore up its domestic support (Q1)	...to encourage divisions within the opposition (Q2)	...to defuse internal divisions on the issue within the government (Q3)	...to take the issue off the agenda of interparty competition (Q4)	...to further progress towards European unification (Q5)	...to strengthen its bargaining power at the European level (Q6)	...because it was judged the only way to obtain domestic ratification (Q7)	
France (1972) First Enlargement of EC	3.14 [1.12]	3.57 [1.40]	3.57 [1.05]	2.29 [1.03]	2.86 [1.25]	3.43 [1.18]	1.86 [1.36]	7
Norway (1972) Accession	2.00 [0.94]	1.44 [0.50]	3.33 [0.94]	4.00 [1.05]	1.22 [0.42]	1.78 [0.42]	2.89 [1.10]	9
Switzerland (1972) Free Trade Agreement	3.40 [1.36]	1.20 [0.40]	1.00 [0.00]	1.20 [0.40]	1.40 [0.80]	1.80 [0.98]	3.00 [1.41]	5
Britain (1975) Terms of Membership	3.80 [1.17]	3.20 [1.47]	5.00 [0.00]	2.40 [1.02]	1.20 [0.4]	2.00 [0.63]	1.80 [0.4]	5
Greenland (1982) Leaving the EC	2.00 [1.00]	1.00 [0.00]	1.00 [0.00]	1.00 [0.00]	1.00 [0.00]	2.50 [1.50]	1.00 [0.00]	4
Denmark (1986) SEA	4.40 [0.80]	2.80 [0.98]	1.60 [0.49]	4.20 [0.75]	2.40 [0.8]	1.60 [0.49]	4.20 [1.17]	5
Italy (1989) Constitution for Europe	2.40 [1.02]	1.40 [0.49]	1.60 [1.20]	1.80 [1.60]	3.40 [1.36]	2.00 [1.55]	1.00 [0.00]	5
France (1992) Maastricht	4.22 [0.79]	4.11 [0.99]	2.56 [0.68]	2.44 [0.96]	3.89 [0.74]	3.67 [1.15]	2.11 [1.45]	9
Switzerland (1992) European Economic Area	2.20 [0.98]	1.20 [0.40]	2.00 [0.63]	1.40 [0.49]	1.80 [0.98]	2.20 [0.75]	4.00 [1.55]	5
Finland/Åland Islands (1994) Accession	2.50/2.00 [0.50/0.00]	1.25/1.50 [0.43/0.50]	2.75/3.00 [0.43/0.00]	3.00/4.00 [1.41/1.00]	2.00/1.50 [0.00/0.50]	1.50/3.00 [0.50/0.00]	4.00/4.50 [0.70/0.50]	4
Sweden (1994) Accession	2.30 [1.19]	1.70 [0.64]	3.50 [0.81]	3.20 [0.98]	2.60 [0.92]	3.10 [1.64]	4.30 [0.78]	10
Britain (1996) Euro	3.80 [0.98]	2.00 [0.00]	4.60 [0.49]	3.00 [1.41]	1.00 [0.00]	2.00 [1.09]	3.40 [1.36]	5
Britain (1997) Euro	4.00 [1.09]	3.00 [1.41]	3.00 [0.89]	4.20 [0.98]	2.40 [1.02]	2.20 [0.75]	3.80 [1.17]	5
Malta (2003) Accession	4.25 [0.43]	3.50 [1.50]	1.25 [0.43]	2.75 [1.30]	2.50 [1.12]	2.50 [0.87]	3.50 [1.12]	4

Referendum Pledges	The government pledged the referendum to...							Number of Experts
	...to shore up its domestic support (Q1)	...to encourage divisions within the opposition (Q2)	...to defuse internal divisions on the issue within the government (Q3)	...to take the issue off the agenda of interparty competition (Q4)	...to further progress towards European unification (Q5)	...to strengthen its bargaining power at the European level (Q6)	...because it was judged the only way to obtain domestic ratification (Q7)	
Sweden (2003) Euro	2.20 [0.98]	1.80 [0.60]	3.20 [1.40]	3.00 [1.18]	2.20 [0.87]	2.60 [1.43]	4.00 [1.18]	10
Portugal (2003) TCE	3.25 [0.83]	2.75 [1.09]	1.75 [0.83]	2.25 [0.43]	2.50 [0.50]	2.50 [1.12]	3.00 [1.58]	4
Czech Republic (2003) Accession	2.50 [1.00]	2.38 [0.86]	1.13 [0.33]	2.13 [1.27]	2.86 [1.12]	2.50 [1.32]	1.63 [0.70]	8
Poland (2003) Accession	3.00 [1.15]	2.00 [1.15]	1.78 [0.79]	2.00 [0.82]	4.00 [1.25]	2.78 [1.40]	3.00 [1.49]	9
Britain (2004) TCE	4.20 [0.75]	2.20 [0.75]	2.60 [1.02]	4.20 [0.75]	2.00 [0.63]	2.00 [0.63]	2.60 [1.36]	5
France (2004) Accession of Turkey	3.78 [1.03]	2.67 [1.05]	3.11 [1.36]	3.11 [1.19]	2.11 [1.19]	3.22 [0.92]	2.50 [1.58]	9
Spain (2005) TCE	3.57 [0.90]	1.57 [0.49]	1.29 [0.45]	2.14 [0.99]	3.29 [1.16]	2.71 [1.16]	1.71 [1.03]	7
France (2005) TCE	4.00 [1.05]	4.00 [1.05]	3.11 [0.99]	2.22 [1.13]	2.78 [1.13]	3.22 [1.03]	2.00 [1.25]	9
Luxembourg (2005) TCE	2.80 [0.98]	2.40 [0.80]	2.00 [1.55]	2.20 [1.47]	4.60 [0.49]	3.60 [1.02]	1.40 [0.80]	5
Poland (2005) TCE	2.56 [0.68]	2.56 [0.96]	1.89 [1.10]	3.00 [1.25]	2.67 [1.15]	2.78 [1.03]	4.00 [1.00]	9
Czech Republic (2005) TCE	3.29 [1.03]	3.00 [1.07]	1.14 [0.35]	2.29 [1.16]	2.00 [0.93]	2.14 [0.99]	3.29 [1.28]	7
Austria (2008) Accession of Turkey	3.71 [1.28]	1.71 [1.03]	2.14 [0.83]	3.71 [1.28]	1.14 [0.35]	1.29 [0.45]	1.43 [0.73]	7
Britain (2010) Future transfers of power	3.80 [0.75]	1.80 [0.4]	3.40 [0.80]	2.00 [1.09]	1.00 [0.00]	2.40 [1.02]	1.80 [1.17]	5

Data: Expert survey. Mean values of expert responses. 1= the rationale played no role at all; 2=...only a marginal role; 3=...a somewhat important role; 4=...an important role; 5=...a very important role. Figures in square brackets: standard deviations